Project Aims:

To assess and improve the delivery of perinatal analgesia.

Introduction:

Pain and fatigue are the most common problems reported by women in the early postpartum period (1). Pain can interfere with a woman's ability to care for herself and her infant and inadequate analgesia can lead to anxiety and depression (2). Furthermore, untreated pain is associated with greater opioid use, inappropriate overthe-counter medication and development of persistent pain (3).

Both pharmacological and non pharmacological therapies are important components of postpartum pain management and a stepwise multimodal approach is recommended by the National Institute for health and Care Excellence (NICE), specifically, following a spontaneous delivery:

• Paracetamol first line and the addition of a non steroidal anti-inflammatory (NSAID) if required (4).

Recommendations from the Royal College of Anaesthetists suggest that following a caesarean section (CS):

• Regular paracetamol and NSAID should be prescribed where not contraindicated (5).

Methodology:

The baseline audit (n=32) of a three cycle quality improvement project assessed key indicators and concordance with national guidelines and recommendations (5). These included:

- Use of opioid for neuroaxial techniques;
- Analgesia regimes prescribed post-delivery;
- Pain scores day 1 post-delivery;
- Satisfaction with day 1 analgesia;
- Side effects; and
- Postnatal pain assessments.

Data was collected by patient interview and correlation with the electronic noting system.

Baseline Audit Results

Findings requiring improvement (tables 1 & 2):

- 35.4% of patients following a CS or instrumental delivery had no regular NSAID prescribed despite no contraindication.
- 93.75% satisfaction with day 1 post-operative analgesia.
- No or inadequate analgesia prescribed following a midwife led birth.
- 50% had pain scores documented, however, upon clarification these assessments did not correlate with patients actual pain scores.
- Further discussion with senior midwives revealed there was no requirement for midwives to assess pain scores postnatally.

Interventions:

First Improvement Cycle: At the time of obtaining the baseline results, a new cohort of anaesthetic trainees were due to start working in obstetrics. A reminder regarding best practice was disseminated to all consultants and this message was cascaded down to new trainees as well as a written reminder on the anaesthetic handover whiteboard.

Second Improvement Cycle: A new policy was introduced which advised: regular paracetamol to be prescribed by midwifery staff postnatally;

• regular postnatal pain assessments [Note, only accurately documented pain scores were recorded as complete on the 2nd round data collection.]; and

• A recommended pathway for patients with high pain scores.

Table 1: Audit Results

	Baseline	1 st Cycle	2 nd Cycl
Neuroaxial Opioid	100%	100%	100%
Average pain score (1 – 10)	3.3	3.3	3.5
Average pain score (SVD)	1.1	1.0	2.8
Average pain score (CS or instrumental)	4.0	4.5	3.9
Maternal satisfaction	93.8%	<u>100%</u>	<u>100%</u>
Frequency of side effects	25%	45%	38%
Post natal pain assessments	50%*	NA*	<u>90.6%</u>

Table 2: Specific analgesia regimes

	Baseline	1 st Cycle	2 nd Cyc
Midwife led delivery			
Paracetamol	96.9%	95%	100%
Regular	<u>25%</u>	50.6%	63.7%
As required	71.9%	44.4%	36.4%
Any NSAID	37.5%	22.2%	45.5%
Any opioid	100%	88.9%	91%
CS or instrumental delivery			
Paracetamol	100%	100%	100%
Regular	81.2%	100%	76.2
As required	18.8%	0%	23.2%
NSAID	<u>67.7%</u>	100%	90.4%
Regular	<u>64.6%</u>	100%	90.4%
As required	3.1%	100%	0%
Any opioid	94%	100%	100%

cle

Results:

First improvement cycle demonstrated:

- 100% had a regular NSAID prescribed by an anaesthetist.
- 100% patient satisfaction.
- Incidence of analgesia related side effects increased from 25% to 45%.

Second cycle demonstrated:

- Over 90% of patients continued to have a regular NSAID prescribed by an anaesthetist.
- 100% patient satisfaction.
- 90.6% of patients had accurately documented postnatal pain assessments.
- A 39% increase of midwife led prescribing of regular paracetamol.

Discussion:

Study limitations: A small sample size impacts on reliability. This can notably be seen in average pain scores where an anomalously high score can significantly skew the data. However, a three cycle audit does go some way to control for this and predictable trends were observed.

A retrospective audit regarding pain score documentation is also problematic as the documentation may not accurately reflect all conversations between midwife and patient.

<u>Future work:</u> A new policy is currently being approved which will allow the selfadministration of analgesics on obstetric wards. This includes an eligibility criteria, description of the analgesics and adjuncts (e.g. laxatives) and a self administration chart. It is hoped this policy will ensure this project provides a sustainable lasting improvement in perinatal analgesia.

Conclusion:

This project highlights the provision of basic analgesia and monitoring of pain levels can easily be substandard, but that simple interventions can significantly improve perinatal care.

References:

1. American College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Committee Opinion No. 742. Obstetrics and Gynaecology 2018; 132; 252-253.

2.UK Medicines Information. Can opioids be used for pain relief during pregnancy? UKMi; 2014 (Updated 2017) [https://www.sps.nhs.uk/articles/can-opioids-be-used-for-pain-relief-duringpregnancy/]. Accessed Feb 2019.

3.Bisson DL, Newell SD, Laxton C, on behalf of the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Antenatal and Postnatal Analgesia. Scientific Impact Paper No. 59. BJOG 2019;126:115-24.

4.National Institute for health and Care Excellence. Postnatal care up to 8 weeks after birth. Clinical Guidance 37; 2006.

5.Colvin J, Peden C. Raising the Standard: a compendium of audit recipes. 3rd ed. London: Royal College of Anaesthetists; 2012.

Acknowledgements:

Many thanks to Annabelle Keegan for implementing the training for pain assessments on the postnatal ward and the receptiveness of midwives in making the recommended changes in clinical practice.

THE EAST IN WE REPORT FOR A PARTY OF A